MSS SUCCESS SPACES
Units 2K-2L, 2nd Floor E.C. Valle Commercial Center M.L. Quezon Avenue Brgy. San Isidro, Angono, Rizal
(02) 7255 5568
0995 846 2495 | 0917 123 1017
info@msscorporation.com.ph
www.MSSCorporation.com.ph

Client confidentiality notice: The client's identity and all sensitive financial, operational, customer, and employee figures have been intentionally withheld or generalized. This case study focuses only on the nature of the engagement, the strategic planning methodology, the documented outputs, and the value created by the process.

Case Study Overview

Strategic planning becomes valuable only when it gives an organization more than a list of ambitions.

It should help leaders understand the organization's current reality, agree on where the business needs to go, identify what must change, align departments around common priorities, and translate strategy into measurable responsibilities.

This case study presents how **Your Strategy Guy**, through our strategic planning consultancy and facilitation practice, helped an established Philippine sheet metal fabrication and industrial manufacturing company develop a structured, multi-year strategic plan.

The engagement involved a cross-functional group representing leadership, commercial functions, human resources, finance, quality, production, technical operations, purchasing, planning, delivery, maintenance, and warehousing. This diversity was important because the strategy could not be developed solely from the perspective of top management or one department.

The client needed more than a motivational planning session. It needed a disciplined process that would connect:

- Organizational direction
- Internal and external realities
- Strategic priorities
- Balanced Scorecard perspectives
- Departmental objectives
- Performance measures
- Accountable owners
- Action plans
- Risk management
- Execution review

The documented engagement produced an integrated strategic planning framework that the organization could use to guide departmental execution and future performance discussions.

The Client

The client was an established Philippine company engaged in **sheet metal fabrication and industrial manufacturing**.

Its operations required coordination among several interdependent functions, including:

- Sales and customer requirements
- Product and technical development
- Production and manufacturing
- Quality assurance
- Production planning and control
- Purchasing and material availability

- Delivery and logistics
- Maintenance
- Warehousing
- Human resources
- Accounting and finance

The nature of the business demanded both technical excellence and close cross-functional coordination. A delayed decision or breakdown in one department could affect production schedules, material availability, product quality, delivery commitments, customer satisfaction, cost, and revenue.

The organization already possessed meaningful technical and operational strengths. However, it needed a clearer strategic direction and a stronger structure for translating that direction into coordinated departmental execution.

The Strategic Planning Challenge

The client engaged an external **Strategic Planning Facilitator in the Philippines** to guide its leaders in developing and finalizing a multi-year strategic plan that could eventually be translated into tactical and operational plans.

The project records show that the intended planning process was not limited to setting corporate goals. It was designed to examine previous performance, assess the internal and external environment, formulate strategic objectives, align departments, document the strategy, and develop action plans.

Several important organizational challenges emerged during the planning process.

1. Planning capability needed to be strengthened

The organization had experienced leaders and technically capable employees, but planning competencies needed further development.

Participants had to distinguish between:
- Goals and activities
- Strategic and routine work
- Objectives and tasks
- Measures and targets
- Plans and accountable execution

The intervention therefore combined facilitation with practical training. Participants were not merely asked to supply answers. They were taught how to analyze situations, formulate measurable objectives, use the Balanced Scorecard, and convert strategy into action.

2. Departments needed stronger alignment

The company's performance depended on departments coordinating closely, yet internal collaboration and communication had been identified as areas needing improvement.

For example:
- Sales commitments affected production and purchasing.

- Material availability affected manufacturing schedules.
- Production readiness affected delivery.
- Quality performance affected customer satisfaction and repeat business.
- Maintenance affected equipment and delivery availability.
- Human resources affected workforce capability and staffing continuity.

The strategic planning process therefore had to prevent departments from developing disconnected plans.

3. The organization needed a balanced definition of success

A manufacturing company cannot measure its long-term success through financial outcomes alone.

Revenue and cost matter, but they are influenced by customer satisfaction, process efficiency, quality, employee capability, leadership, technology, collaboration, and continuous improvement.

The **Balanced Scorecard** was introduced to help the leadership team view strategy through four connected perspectives:

1. Financial
2. Customer
3. Internal Process
4. Learning and Growth or Organizational Capacity

The workshop materials connected these perspectives with strategic goals, objectives, measures, targets, initiatives, and budget considerations.

4. Strategy had to be converted into implementable action

The organization did not need another document filled with broad aspirations.

It needed a system that clarified:

- What must be accomplished
- What actions would be taken
- Who would be accountable
- How progress would be reported
- What success would look like
- When results would be reviewed
- What risks could delay execution
- What support each department needed

This execution requirement shaped the entire engagement.

The Objectives of the Engagement

The strategic planning and Balanced Scorecard intervention was designed to help the organization:

1. Review its past and present organizational situation.
2. Clarify its desired future direction.
3. Evaluate and refine its vision, mission, and values.
4. Identify strategic internal strengths and weaknesses.

5. Examine external opportunities and threats.
6. Formulate meaningful strategic goals and objectives.
7. Organize the strategy using the Balanced Scorecard.
8. Strengthen interdepartmental alignment.
9. Translate strategic priorities into departmental contributions.
10. Create structured action plans with accountability and risk management.
11. Document the agreed strategic plan for communication and implementation.

The engagement design explicitly covered organizational situation analysis, SWOT, PESTLE, Balanced Scorecard-based goal formulation, alignment, strategic plan documentation, and action planning.

Our Strategic Planning Consultancy and Facilitation Approach

The intervention followed a structured process rather than beginning and ending with the workshop itself.

Phase 1: Pre-Strategic Planning Preparation

Before the planning proper, relevant organizational information was gathered and expectations were established.

The preparatory process included reviewing or requesting:

- Previous planning outputs
- Existing vision, mission, and values
- Participant roles and departmental representation
- The proposed planning horizon
- Perceived internal strengths and weaknesses
- External developments affecting the business
- Previous initiatives that worked or failed
- Expectations for the planning process
- Desired outcomes from the engagement

A pre-planning questionnaire also assessed participants' familiarity with strategic planning tools such as situational analysis, SWOT, PESTLE, goal-setting frameworks, and action planning with risk management.

This pre-work helped ensure that the facilitated session addressed the client's realities rather than delivering a generic strategic planning lecture.

Phase 2: Establishing Participation and Psychological Readiness

Strategic planning can become unproductive when participants are hesitant to speak, overly deferential to senior leaders, or protective of their respective departments.

The workshop therefore began by creating an environment that encouraged participation, openness, and collaboration.

An experiential planning activity required teams to build a structure using equal resources and balance different criteria. The activity was processed as a practical demonstration of planning, resource use, collaboration, decision-making, quality, and execution.

The point was not entertainment for its own sake.

It allowed participants to experience an important strategic principle:
A plan must balance ambition, quality, resources, stability, and execution.

This helped establish the workshop as participative and practical rather than lecture-heavy.

Step 1: Organizational Situation Analysis

Before deciding where the company should go, participants had to understand where the organization had been and where it currently stood.

Each major function was asked to reflect on questions such as:

- What had been accomplished?
- What had been attempted but did not work?
- What should have been done but was not pursued?
- What activities continued despite limited value?
- What lessons should be carried into the next planning period?

This moved the discussion beyond a simple presentation of accomplishments.

It encouraged leaders to examine assumptions, decisions, missed opportunities, recurring problems, and execution gaps.

The original engagement design emphasized reviewing the organization's past, present, and future before moving into goal formulation.

Step 2: SWOT Analysis

The leadership group conducted a structured **SWOT Analysis** covering:

- Internal strengths
- Internal weaknesses or capability gaps
- External opportunities
- External threats

Participants were placed into cross-functional groups rather than being grouped only with their own departments. This reduced departmental bias and encouraged people to see the organization as one interconnected system.

The process first separated the analysis into:

- Strengths and weaknesses as internal factors
- Opportunities and threats as external factors

The findings were later consolidated into one organizational SWOT matrix for discussion, validation, and agreement. The agenda specifically provided for cross-functional breakout discussions, consolidation, and presentation of the finalized SWOT inputs.

The analysis surfaced strategic themes involving:

- Technical and operational capabilities
- Customer responsiveness
- Quality management
- Workforce capability and continuity
- Planning maturity
- Cost efficiency
- Interdepartmental communication
- Market and investment opportunities
- Technology and infrastructure developments
- Regulatory, labor, and economic risks

These themes were used as inputs to strategy formulation rather than being treated as a stand-alone workshop exercise.

Step 3: PESTLE Environmental Scanning

The external portion of the SWOT analysis was strengthened through **PESTLE Analysis**.

Participants examined how the organization could be affected by:

- Political developments
- Economic conditions
- Social and workforce trends
- Technological developments
- Legal and regulatory factors
- Environmental conditions

This helped the planning team avoid developing a strategy based only on internal opinions.

External developments could influence:

- Raw material costs
- Labor availability
- Regulatory compliance
- Transportation and delivery
- Technology adoption
- Supplier options
- Market growth
- Customer demand
- Business continuity

The final strategic plan documentation explicitly connected the identified opportunities and threats to the PESTLE environmental scanning process.

Step 4: Reviewing Organizational Identity

The organization’s vision, mission, and values were reviewed as strategic tools—not simply as statements for display.

Participants examined whether the existing organizational direction remained:

- Relevant
- Clear
- Aspirational
- Memorable
- Connected to the nature of the business
- Supportive of long-term continuity and competitiveness

The process resulted in a refined organizational identity centered on:

- Becoming a preferred and competitive fabrication company
- Delivering quality products and superior service
- Protecting and improving employee wellbeing
- Developing a competent workforce
- Strengthening the quality management system
- Reinforcing customer orientation, integrity, teamwork, excellence, and humility

The finalized strategic plan document shows that these statements became the foundation for the organization’s priorities and departmental plans.

Step 5: Formulating S.M.A.R.T.E.R. Strategic Objectives

Participants were trained to formulate objectives that were:

- **Specific**
- **Measurable**
- **Attainable**
- **Relevant**
- **Time-bound**
- **Evaluable**
- **Recorded**

This was necessary because many planning teams confuse general intentions with usable objectives.

For example, an objective such as “improve delivery” is too broad to manage.

A stronger objective must clarify:

- What must improve
- How much improvement is expected
- Over what period
- How performance will be measured
- Who can influence the result

The facilitated process challenged participants to verify whether every proposed objective:

1. Contributed to the strategy
2. Made a meaningful difference
3. Could be measured
4. Could be controlled or influenced
5. Could be assigned and reviewed

The S.M.A.R.T.E.R. framework was deliberately introduced before Balanced Scorecard goal formulation so that the resulting objectives would be observable and evaluable.

Step 6: Building the Balanced Scorecard

The Balanced Scorecard was used to prevent the plan from becoming financially focused or operationally one-sided. The leadership group brainstormed strategic goals and objectives under four perspectives.

Financial Perspective
The planning team considered how the organization could strengthen financial sustainability through growth, productivity, cost efficiency, and responsible resource utilization.

Customer Perspective
The team examined how the organization could improve customer value, quality, responsiveness, reliability, satisfaction, and long-term relationships.

Internal Process Perspective
Participants identified the operational and cross-functional processes that needed to become faster, more reliable, more efficient, and more consistent.

Learning and Growth Perspective
The organization considered the people, competencies, leadership, workforce systems, technology, culture, and organizational capabilities required to sustain the strategy.

The workshop used a visual scorecard structure connecting:
Goals → Objectives → Measures → Targets → Initiatives → Resources

This helped participants understand that a Balanced Scorecard is not merely a list of KPIs. It is a strategic management system connecting desired outcomes with the initiatives and capabilities needed to produce them.

Step 7: Creating Interdepartmental Alignment

Each department's proposed contribution was subjected to discussion and critique by other functions.

This was a critical part of the intervention.

Departmental objectives were examined based on questions such as:

- Does this objective contribute to the corporate strategy?
- Will it create a negative effect on another department?

- What support is required from other functions?
- Are responsibilities duplicated?
- Does the timeline depend on another team's output?
- Is the objective measurable and realistic?
- Who should be accountable?

The facilitation proposal explicitly required other departments to clarify, critique, and negotiate adjustments so that objectives would not conflict and could be implemented more smoothly.

This transformed departmental planning from isolated target-setting into a cross-functional alignment process.

Step 8: Translating Strategy Into Departmental Action Plans

The agreed strategic objectives were converted into structured departmental action plans.

The planning template included:

- Strategic objective
- Specific tasks
- Point person or process owner
- Reporting mechanism
- Success criteria
- Start and target dates
- Timeframe
- Progress status
- Review date
- Potential problems
- Possible root causes
- Preventive actions
- Contingent actions or triggers
- Support needed
- Supporting departments

This level of detail was essential because strategy often breaks down between agreement and implementation.

The action-planning framework ensured that every strategic commitment would be accompanied by ownership, evidence, deadlines, review mechanisms, and risk considerations.

The final plan contained departmental contributions from commercial, people, production, quality, planning, purchasing, delivery, maintenance, and other support functions.

Step 9: Documenting the Strategic Plan

The participants were guided in organizing their outputs into a formal strategic-plan document.

The recommended documentation included:

- Executive summary
- Planning background
- Organizational identity
- Strategic planning process
- Situation analysis
- SWOT and PESTLE findings
- Strategic priorities
- Corporate goals
- Departmental objectives
- Performance measures
- Action plans
- Strategic implications
- Execution requirements

The planning proposal emphasized that the outputs needed to be placed in writing, approved by authorities, and cascaded to the organization through a structured implementation or change-management process.

This reduced the risk of the workshop outputs remaining on flipcharts, notes, or presentation slides.

Documented Outputs of the Engagement

The engagement produced the foundation of an execution-ready strategic management system.

1. A refined organizational direction
The leadership team developed a clearer long-term vision supported by a revised mission and defined values.

2. A consolidated organizational situation analysis
The company documented strategic strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats informed by cross-functional input and external environmental scanning.

3. A Balanced Scorecard-based planning structure
The strategy was organized across financial, customer, internal-process, and organizational-capacity perspectives.

4. Corporate goals and departmental contributions
The organization established a clearer relationship between corporate priorities and the responsibilities of individual functions.

5. Measurable objectives and performance criteria
Broad intentions were translated into more observable, measurable, time-bound, and reviewable commitments.

6. Departmental action plans
The strategic plan contained defined tasks, owners, reporting methods, timelines, review arrangements, support requirements, and status fields.

7. Risk-aware execution planning
Participants identified possible implementation problems, root causes, preventive actions, and contingency responses rather than assuming that execution would proceed without obstacles.

MSS SUCCESS SPACES
Units 2K–2L, 2nd Floor E.C. Valle Commercial Center M.L. Quezon Avenue Brgy. San Isidro, Angono, Rizal
(02) 7255 5568
0995 846 2495 | 0917 123 1017
info@msscorporation.com.ph
www.MSSCorporation.com.ph

8. Stronger cross-functional visibility
Departments gained a better understanding of how their decisions, delays, priorities, and outputs affected other teams and the organization as a whole.

The Value Created by the Strategic Planning Process

The available project documents establish the strategic plan and its implementation framework, but they do not contain a verified post-engagement performance evaluation. For that reason, this case study does not claim unverified improvements in revenue, cost, productivity, customer satisfaction, or employee performance.

The documented value of the engagement was the organization's movement from:

Before the Intervention	After the Facilitated Planning Process
Broad business aspirations	A clarified multi-year direction
Department-specific perspectives	Consolidated organizational analysis
Unstructured issues and ideas	Prioritized strategic themes
Financially or operationally isolated targets	A Balanced Scorecard view of performance
General intentions	S.M.A.R.T.E.R. objectives
Departmental silos	Cross-functional critique and alignment
Activities without full accountability	Assigned owners and reporting mechanisms
Plans without risk consideration	Preventive and contingency planning
Workshop discussions	A documented strategic-plan framework
Strategy as an event	Strategy prepared for cascading and review

The intervention did not merely tell leaders what their strategy should be.
It created a process through which the organization's own leaders could examine reality, challenge assumptions, contribute knowledge, agree on priorities, and take responsibility for execution.

Key Lessons From the Case

Strategic planning must involve the right functions
A company-wide strategy cannot be developed effectively by one executive, one department, or an external consultant working alone.

The consultant provides the process, frameworks, challenge, objectivity, and facilitation. The organization's leaders provide the operational knowledge, experience, decisions, and ownership.

SWOT should lead to decisions
A SWOT analysis creates little value when it becomes a long, unfiltered list.

Its purpose is to identify the factors that should influence strategic priorities, resource allocation, capability development, risk management, and action.

The Balanced Scorecard is more than a KPI table
The Balanced Scorecard helped the client examine the cause-and-effect relationship among people, processes, customers, and financial results.

Measures became meaningful because they were linked to strategic objectives.

Departmental plans must be challenged cross-functionally
A department may create a target that looks reasonable from its own perspective but becomes difficult or harmful when its effect on other departments is considered.

Facilitated alignment helps surface these conflicts before execution.

Every objective needs ownership
A strategy without accountable owners, reporting mechanisms, timelines, and review schedules is only an expression of intent.

Planning should include execution risk
The client's action-planning framework required teams to anticipate problems, examine possible root causes, and prepare preventive and contingent responses.

This made the plan more realistic and execution-conscious.

Why Engage a Strategic Planning Consultant or Facilitator?

A qualified **Strategic Planning Consultant in the Philippines** does more than provide templates or give advice.

The consultant helps an organization:

- Ask difficult strategic questions
- Separate symptoms from root causes
- Maintain objectivity
- Structure complex discussions
- Encourage participation across levels and functions
- Prioritize strategic issues
- Resolve conflicting assumptions
- Develop measurable objectives
- Align departments
- Build execution mechanisms
- Document decisions and next steps

A **Strategic Planning Facilitator in the Philippines** also protects the process from being dominated by a few voices. The facilitator creates an environment where participants can contribute, challenge ideas constructively, and reach decisions as one leadership team.

When capability building is required, the same engagement may include the role of a **Strategic Planning Trainer in the Philippines**, teaching participants how to apply SWOT, PESTLE, Balanced Scorecard, KPI development, strategy mapping, action planning, and risk analysis.

For conferences, leadership events, management meetings, and corporate learning programs, a **Strategic Planning Speaker in the Philippines** may also help leaders understand why strategies fail and what organizations must do to strengthen alignment and execution.

How Your Strategy Guy Can Help Your Organization

Your Strategy Guy provides customized strategic and tactical planning interventions for Philippine organizations through:

- Strategic planning consultancy
- Strategic planning facilitation
- Strategic planning workshops
- Balanced Scorecard development
- Strategy mapping
- KPI development and alignment
- Departmental cascading
- Tactical and operational planning
- Strategy execution training
- Strategy alignment workshops
- Business-review facilitation
- Strategic planning talks and resource speaking
- Post-planning monitoring and advisory support

The process can be customized for:

- Private companies
- Manufacturing organizations
- Service businesses
- Government agencies
- Cooperatives
- Healthcare institutions
- Schools and universities
- Non-profit organizations
- Associations
- Family businesses
- Growing small and medium enterprises

Looking for a Strategic Planning Consultant in the Philippines?

Your organization may need external strategic planning support when:

- Leaders have different views of the company’s priorities
- Departments are working in silos
- The current strategic plan is no longer relevant
- Goals are broad but difficult to measure
- KPIs are disconnected from strategy
- People are busy but execution remains weak
- Strategic initiatives have no clear owners
- Planning meetings produce discussion but few decisions

MSS SUCCESS SPACES
Units 2K–2L, 2nd Floor E.C. Valle Commercial Center M.L. Quezon Avenue Brgy. San Isidro, Angono, Rizal
(02) 7255 5568
0995 846 2495 | 0917 123 1017
info@msscorporation.com.ph
www.MSSCorporation.com.ph

- The company is preparing for growth, transformation, succession, or expansion
- Leadership needs a neutral facilitator to guide difficult conversations

A strategic plan should not merely describe the future your organization wants.

It should clarify:

- What must change
- What matters most
- How success will be measured
- Who will lead each priority
- What every department must contribute
- How execution will be monitored

That is the difference between conducting a planning event and building a strategic management system.

Frequently Asked Questions

What does a Strategic Planning Consultant in the Philippines do?
A strategic planning consultant helps an organization assess its current situation, clarify direction, identify priorities, develop strategic goals, create performance measures, align departments, and establish an execution framework.

What is the difference between a strategic planning consultant and facilitator?
A consultant may provide analysis, frameworks, recommendations, and advisory support. A facilitator manages the planning conversation, encourages participation, guides decision-making, and helps the leadership team produce agreed outputs. One professional may perform both roles when properly qualified.

Can the Balanced Scorecard be used by manufacturing companies?
Yes. Manufacturing companies can use the Balanced Scorecard to connect financial performance with customer requirements, quality, production efficiency, supply-chain performance, workforce capability, maintenance, technology, and continuous improvement.

What should a strategic planning workshop produce?
A properly designed workshop may produce a situation analysis, strategic priorities, revised organizational direction, strategic objectives, KPIs, strategy maps, departmental contributions, initiatives, action plans, owners, timelines, and review mechanisms.

Is a one-day strategic planning workshop enough?
A focused session can produce important strategic decisions and foundational outputs when participants complete the necessary pre-work. However, complex organizations commonly benefit from a multi-day process and post-workshop consultancy for validation, documentation, cascading, and execution monitoring.

Why should an external Strategic Planning Facilitator be engaged?
An external facilitator provides structure, neutrality, discipline, and an independent perspective. This helps the leadership team address difficult issues, prevent domination by a few voices, align departments, and stay focused on decisions and outputs.

Turn Your Strategic Planning Session Into an Execution System

A good strategic planning workshop creates clarity.

A strong Balanced Scorecard creates alignment and measurement.

A disciplined action plan creates ownership.

A regular strategy review creates sustained execution.

Your Strategy Guy can help your organization connect all four.

Whether you need a **Strategic Planning Consultant, Facilitator, Trainer, or Speaker in the Philippines**, the engagement can be customized to your organization's industry, leadership team, planning maturity, strategic challenges, and required outputs.

Plan with clarity. Align the organization. Measure what matters. Execute with accountability.